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THE HEARING COMMENCED ON MONDAY, 22ND DAY OF
JANUARY, 2018 AS FOLLOWS:

CATHAOIRLEACH: Good evening, Members. Thanks for coming down here this evening. We're going to continue 19:04 on from where we left off last Monday evening and, in particular, the planners have circulated, this afternoon, an updated version of what we agreed last week, plus a list of outstanding items that we didn't have time to get to last Monday. The items that we're going to deal with and we didn't get time to get to are highlighted in yellow on this document (indicating) so I propose to go down through the document like I did last week in chronological order.

Before I do there's one small anomaly that was picked up by C11r. Fox in relation to a mapping issue and I'd just ask Cllr. Fox to bring that to the attention of the meeting. I think that map that he's referring to has also been circulated to Members and apparently there was an error in the map and C11r. Fox will explain now what it should be and we'11 see if we can agree that.
CLLR. FOX: Thank you, Chairman. Thank you for your indulgence. Yeah, just to say there is a commercial property in Bray which is zoned in the Draft Plan as a neighbourhood centre. However, part of the commercial site was inadvertently excluded and as you see on the screen, I would propose to include the piece that was
inadvertently excluded as commercial or neighbour centre and not as residential as indicated in the Draft. As you see the car park is actually the car park of the property. That's at the Members'
discretion to do that but I would propose that we would 19:06 amend it accordingly. Thank you, Chairman. CLLR. VANCE: I'11 second that, Chairman. CATHAOIRLEACH: would the planners like to comment on it.

MR. O'bRIEN: Cathaoirleach, this is just a technical
thing. That is a mapping area. I think it was in the last plan as well. I think that car park is attached to both what we would call The Pickled Pig site but as well as there's three light industrial units from memory, served by that car park as well so it's not residential at all. I think it has been there a couple of plans now. It's one of these things that got through.
CATHAOIRLEACH: I have a proposer and a seconder. Sorry, Cllr. Vance, do you want to speak?

CLLR. VANCE: No. I know the area well, I know exactly what it is. It is a mapping error so I have no problem seconding the change.
CATHAOIRLEACH: I have a proposer do, I have a seconder. Is it agreed or does anyone else want to 19:07 comment?
FROM THE FLOOR: Agreed.
CATHAOIRLEACH: It's agreed. Okay. I'11 revert now to the handout we received and we'11 start up at Chapter 6
and the first item highlighted in yellow, which came up at our meeting halfway through and wasn't finalised at the time. C11r. Matthews' number 12 it is described as here. c11r. Matthews, do you wish to speak on it or will we just take a vote?

CLLR. MATTHEWS: This is on the open space protection, Cathaoirleach and it was circulated so we can proceed to a vote, or agree it.
CATHAOIRLEACH: I'11 just get the planners to comment. MR. O'bRIEN: Cathaoirleach, as it's worded it is estate and there is maybe a big site on it and you might come across a very, very small part of open space and there has been two or three that I can remember, mainly in Greystones where this has been useful. So as 19:08 long as -- it does say "not normally permit" and I think that allows us to be able to use our head, where there is a bit of land that otherwise wouldn't be serviced and that can be serviced with a house. So as long as that's the intention. In fact that's what we do in all cases. We never allow any building in open space. Sometimes we use our head and there is a small access allowed over it. I can think of one in Rathdown Park and one in Redford Park. There was another one in Bray but I just can't pinpoint where it was in my memory now. It is useful to be able to do it. I think the way the Councillor has worded it allows us to do that. On that basis I'd be quite happy. CATHAOIRLEACH: cllr. Vance.

CLLR. VANCE: Chairman, if that's the interpretation of it, I'm happy enough to go along with it because there was an incident here last week where I felt that it was wrong of people to try and put in amendments into the Development Plan that would or could possibly have affected a court case that was going through and I didn't want -- at the time I said I didn't want this Council to get involved in it. I mean I'm happy enough to go along with that given the interpretation and the reading I have based on that and given the interpretation that the Director says on that, I'm happy to go ahead with this at this particular stage. Thank you.
CATHAOIRLEACH: C11r. Behan.
CLLR. BEHAN: Cathaoirleach, I seconded it I think at the last meeting and it was, $I$ suppose, as a result of trying to find a compromise on C11r. Thornhill's proposal. But I think we had a very strong safeguard against this in the last Bray Plan and one of the reasons we had was that in Dun Laoghaire/Rathdown, developers who had to build housing estates were coming back and looking to develop on those open spaces those housing estates because technically they still own the 1and. You know, I take what the Director is saying there that we wouldn't normally permit it. I'd like to hear the Chief Executive stating his opinion on this because it will be his interpretation, at the end of the day, that will actually matter on this. I don't want a situation to arise where developers, because
they could make huge profits coming back and building on open spaces, using this as some way of getting in the door on that. Thanks, Cathaoirleach.
MR. CURRAN: As the Director says, not normally allows for situations where, for instance, if there's an area that we need to access for further development or something, that we can take that into account. I think that would cover situations where it would be reasonable for a small portion of land to act as an access or a access road or something like that. But in 19:11 general I think we're happy enough with the amendment in that it refers to lots of residential space or public open space. I think we're happy enough with that. That "not normally" does allow the latitude that we may need in exceptional circumstances.

CLLR. BEHAN: Thanks.
CATHAOIRLEACH: Okay. C11r. Ryan.
CLLR. RYAN: Could I just ask one question with regard to this. I know Joe Lane, Director of Housing, was in Bray Municipal District and he talking about just some areas that they might look at putting a house in that's a Local Authority where a particularly large area of open space, maybe part of it was subject of anti-social behaviour or the like. How would this, if it's passed, affect his ability to bring a proposal forward like that?
MR. O'bRIEN: Cathaoirleach, I think already the Plan wouldn't allow for that as it stands, from memory. This is just cementing something in it. I think what

Director Lane was doing was maybe talking about taking some open space, land that's not used, in fact it's not used at all, and maybe taking it in for housing for special circumstances. I think you'd probably need to do a modification of the Local Area Plan in any case for that. I don't think it really affects it that much.

CATHAOIRLEACH: C11r. Thornhil1.
CLLR. THORNHILL: Cathaoirleach, go raibh maith agat.
Cathaoirleach, I just would like clarification because
I couldn't make out what the Chief Executive was saying there about Sidmonton Court, about this amendment that I'm trying to get through, you know, about going across the green space. Maybe someone could clarify clearly for me.
MR. CURRAN: We can't comment on a particular case or a court case. what I'm saying is, in general sometimes to get from one area of a site -- I'm not referring to that case now, I'm just talking about in general, to get from one area of a development to another that's zoned residential you may need to go through, in terms of access road, through a small amount of open space which was always the intention and I think that "not normally" will allow us to do that. That's what I'm saying. They're not referring to that case in particular.
CLLR. THORNHILL: You're saying just generally speaking but this is a special case.
MR. CURRAN: I'm saying what the amendment says.

Generally speaking if it's a public open space, if it's a playing field, if it's a children's play area, if it's residential amenity, zoned for recreational open space purposes, then it will not normally allow development on it, but there may be specific circumstances where we have to make an exception. That's what the amendment is saying and we don't have a problem with that.
CLLR. THORNHILL: Go raibh maith agat.
CATHAOIRLEACH: Okay, Members. I have a proposer and
a seconder for cl1r. Matthews. Is that agreed or do you want to take a vote?
FROM THE FLOOR: Agreed.
CATHAOIRLEACH: Okay, that's agreed. Okay, moving on then. C11r. Matthew's, number 10, oldcourt. Sorry, c11r. Behan's number 9. Same subject. C11r. Matthews, do you wish to --

CLLR. MATTHEWS: Does it go alphabetical order as we get to them?
CATHAOIRLEACH: C11r. Behan pressed the button first so 19:15 Cllr. Behan.

CLLR. BEHAN: Well, Chairman, I think we actually had the discussion on this at the last meeting and we didn't take a vote because the time had run out. My objection in this particular case - and it applies to side and the Giltspur wood side, that in the event that an access from the vevay Road is not possible, a developer is quite likely to apply for planning
permission to enter either end of that site through existing cul-de-sacs in existing long-estab7ished estates. So that's why I propose that both be taken out. I know C11r. Matthews has a different opinion about the Charnwood side. I did explain that the last time. I think most people had had their say on it, we just we hadn't voted on it.
CATHAOIRLEACH: Do you have a seconder for your proposal?
CLLR. BEHAN: I don't know.
CLLR. THORNHILL: I'11 second that vote.
ms. GALLAGHER: Seconded by cllr. Thornhill.
CATHAOIRLEACH: C11r. Matthews.
CLLR. MATTHEWS: And my proposal, which is different to that one, Cathaoirleach, is to remove the R20 zoning from the western side and leave that as, I think that's been classed as OS2 now, it was OS4, but I think it's OS2, and the eastern zone that's proposed for R2O, I propose that we go for high density residential in there. And also to the south of that I think there's scope to increase that zone slightly to the south. The reason I say that, Cathaoirleach, is you want to give a designer the most scope and the best chance of designing something that's of high quality and high density. That site is very, very close to all services 19:17 and I think we could optimise that site by having a higher density on it and slightly expanding that zone to south. I don't think it would impact negatively on anything there. We've already agreed that access would
be through the roadway that currently serves Sunbeam and oldcourt House there and the RE zone there that incorporates the protected structure, I think there's scope for expanded on that slightly to the south and to the west. As long as the construction that's in there in the design complies with our policy on protected structures, I think it provides a good opportunity for residential development, it will actually bring a protected structure back into the public realm. That's what I would be proposing, Chairman.
MS. GALLAGHER: Do we have a seconder?
CLLR. VANCE: Chairman.
CATHAOIRLEACH: Cllr. Vance.
CLLR. VANCE: Chairman, I want to formally second this proposal. People who wouldn't know this area, actually 19:18 this is an area right in the middle of hundreds of houses, probably I'd say thousands of houses up there and the main problem with it over the years has been access. Now, there was a number of estates that Councillors, including myself, gave people a guarantee that the entrance wouldn't be through those particular estates and in this proposal it's very, very clear that access to this development would be from the vevay Road. There's two reasons to that, as far as I'm concerned and I'm very happy with that; one, that the access is not, like as promised by most Councillors that it wouldn't go through a number of other estates that people were concerned about, but also go through the Vevay, and there's an opportunity then, at the
entrance on the Vevay to get rid of a very serious traffic hazard coming out of the petrol station there to improve the sightlines there. So it has a bonus of that and it has a bonus of giving people an opportunity to buy houses in Bray, which we haven't had for a long number of years as well. This is an area where there is a significant amount of housing. It also opens up that particular area because there has been anti-social behaviour in it for quite a considerable time. I've talked to an awful lot of the residents in the area, they're happy enough in regard to that because there has been damage to very old trees down there and they see this as an opportunity of preserving the trees and having a nice development down there and cutting out the anti-social behaviour and also improving the entrance on the vevay Road as well. I can see an awful lot more positives in this than negatives as well. So I want to formally second that. Thank you, Chairman. CATHAOIRLEACH: Cl1r. Ryan.
CLLR. MATTHEWS: Can I submit the map just on those
slight amendments I was talking about?
CATHAOIRLEACH: C11r. Ryan to come in please. CLLR. RYAN: Chair, I'd also be supportive of this but, you know, C11r. Behan sort of says there that he's not supportive of it on the basis that if it becomes clear that access isn't possible through the Vevay that then pressure will build to let access through those estates. Obviously we don't want access through those estates so I just want to know is there some way,
within this, that we can get a cast iron guarantee that we're only zoning that if access is through the vevay? will that absolutely stand up? Because if it does stand up I'm happy to go with it.
MR. O'bRIEN: I think the way C11r. Matthews has
structured his amendment, it is a called a special
local objective for oldcourt House and it says:
"Access to the residential element shall be via the Vevay Road and no through roads through Charnwood or Giltspur Wood shall be permitted."

So I think it's fairly explicit.
CLLR. RYAN: Absolutely explicit, I'm just saying it will stand, will it? Once it's in the Plan it's in the 19:21 Plan.

MR. O'BRIEN: From the County Council obviously they can appeal to An Bord Pleanála. They're not bound by the Plan. Obviously people up here, yeah, or even people who follow people up here won't be able to ignore that.
CATHAOIRLEACH: I'm going to take a vote on it.
CLLR. Behan: Can I just ask a question then. when the Manager's report came out on this issue, what the planners actually said at the time was the question of an access would be decided at planning stage, as part of the planning process. So why did the planners say that at that time if now they're willing to accept that it can only be decided by virtue of this decision? It
was made quite clear there was no point in talking about access, it would have to be discussed at planning stage.
CLLR. VANCE: Chairman, could I just come in there? I clarified this with the planning staff in regard to specifying that the entrance would be from the Vevay Road and I was told as long as we were specific and mentioned that it had it be from the vevay Road that effectively was cast in stone as regards the Council's point of view and if it went through this meeting here today. That's the information that I got in regard to when I questioned it because obviously if it was going through the other estates I wouldn't be voting for it. But I think this is a great opportunity to solve a lot of problems and provide housing as well. I got that cast iron guarantee that once we were specific in what -- C11r. Matthews has been very specific in saying that the entrance has to be through the vevay Road, then I'm happy enough with it.
MR. O'BRIEN: Cathaoirleach, we didn't recommend this in the first place so we didn't comment on the entrances. Obviously in any planning when you zone land, the Development Plan doesn't decide where the accesses are in a plan. In this particular case the Members didn't want it going through existing housing estates and they made proposals. We didn't actually support those but it is the Members' plan so the Members have come in with this and at the last meeting it was very clearly obvious there was support to change
this. So that's it. Al1 I was asked to do was give an interpretation of the special local objective and that is explicit.
CATHAOIRLEACH: Okay, thanks.
MR. O'BRIEN: It's not a question of whether we like it 19:24 or not; it is explicit.
CATHAOIRLEACH: I propose to take a vote.
MR. O'BRIEN: It's a bit unclear whether the Councillor is proposing not just his amendment but he is sort of modifying his amendment to add in extra land and it's not very clear what these are?

CLLR. MATTHEWS: Cathaoirleach, I have just drawn it here and I'm going to submit it.
CATHAOIRLEACH: Can you speak there, I'm not up to speed on this. Can you explain the background?
CLLR. MATTHEWS: It's just on the basis of giving a design the most scope for a high quality design, not just high density but a high quality design to -- that there's a small portion of land to the south and slightly to the west and there would be most
opportunity there for a quality design making best use of open space. It's just to try and give that little bit of extra scope to improving the quality of it.

Thank you.
CLLR. BEHAN: Chairman, a point of order. Are we not 19:25 to see all of these things in advance of the meeting? There's obviously an extra area of land now being proposed. We don't have a map in front of us. It's just being added. I accept cllr. Matthews' bona fides
in all this. As long as everybody else is judged by the same standard in further plans when other areas come up and somebody comes in at the last minute with a drawing with an extra bit of zoning, for whatever reason, the same rules should apply to every one of the 19:25 32 Members.

CATHAOIRLEACH: I agree with you, Councillor, I am concerned. This is the first I've heard of it actually this minute. Do you want to come in?
MR. O'bRIEN: I would agree with c11r. Behan. I mean we want to get away from 15 years ago --

CLLR. MATTHEWS: Okay, Cathaoirleach, we'11 revert -MR. O'BRIEN: -- when no one knew what they were voting on. I'm not attributing any other motives to you.

CLLR. BEHAN: Neither am I.
MR. O'BRIEN: I appreciate that, Councillor, and the point you brought up is very, very well made. I would say to C11r. Matthews, on private development they can do material contravention. Now I know Sorcha walsh is a bit concerned because part of where you were including, there's a good stock of trees there which are worth preserving, but if it does make sense and the designer sees that a bit of the green there can easily be taken out, they can do it during the planning permission process and if we think it's reasonable we can do material contravention and then put it before the Council again. You just need three-quarters of the votes to pass it. I don't think by not putting it in, Councillor, means that a designer can't propose that to
us subsequently. I agree with what you say to give flexibility to people designing things and not to do design during the Development Plan process. I think in this case there is a remedy for it. So I'd recommend --

CATHAOIRLEACH: We'11 go as is.
CLLR. MATTHEWS: I accept the comments in the spirit that they were made and I will withdraw that and revert back to my original, I don't know what submission it is, number 10.

CATHAOIRLEACH: C11r. Fox.
CLLR. FOX: Chairman, I accept also what C11r. Behan has said. He's quite right. However, this is going on public display. will c11r. Matthews have an opportunity at a later date to distribute what his thinking is on it? You know, when it comes back off public display how much modification can be made at that particular point? Thank you.
MS. WALSH: Cathaoirleach, just to clarify. You can't add additional zoned 1 and at the next stage.
CLLR. MATTHEWS: Can we change the zoning to high density rather than R20?

MS. WALSH: This is your amendment stage so you may change it to anything you wish at this stage but not at the next stage.
CLLR. MATTHEWS: To amend the R20 to RHD residential high density.

MS. WALSH: We would have no particular issue with that. I mean a higher density zoning would really be
bound by what would fit on the site in any event. So RHD might end up being 22 to the hectare rather than 20 , or 50 , whatever the fit might be. It might make better use of the land if that's the right type of development for the site. The standard sort of prevailing density in the area would be around 20 to 25 to the hectare. That's the reason why we recommended R20 but we'd have no particular issue with RHD.
CLLR. MATTHEWS: okay.
CATHAOIRLEACH: We'11 take a vote, please.
CLLR. BEHAN: what are we voting on, Chairman? CATHAOIRLEACH: We are voting on Cllr. Matthews' proposal.
MS. GALLAGHER: Amendment 10 page 3, proposed by C11r. Matthews; seconded by C11r. Vance. [VOTE TAKEN] That's 27 for; two against; two not present; and one abstaining.
CATHAOIRLEACH: Okay, as this is a contradiction of C11r. Behan's proposal, C11r. Behan do you want to take a vote on it or do you accept that this has gone through?

CLLR. BEHAN: The other one's carried.
CATHAOIRLEACH: Okay. The next item is the Chief Executive's proposal number 17.
MR. O'BRIEN: This was on foot from submissions from lowered the amount of retail in Bray it was felt that the site was too big just for the neighbourhood centre so we propose to have a portion of it to be new
residential and the other to be a neighbourhood centre. CATHAOIRLEACH: Cl1r. Behan.
CLLR. BEHAN: Just a point of information. which amendment are we talking about now, is it number 18 ?

CLLR. WINTERS: SLO10.
MS. WALSH: Page 7.
CLLR. BEHAN: 17. So it's the Southern Cross one? okay. Just in relation to that, so there's an new element in this which is new residential which is at the back of Mountain View Drive and originally in the last Plan, my recollection is the shopping centre was going to go into that particular location. No? Sorry. I'11 just continue on with my points anyway.

I just want to ask in terms of residential, because it will definitely affect the people in Mountain View Drive there, just confirmation about access to that residential where is it proposed? I think you're proposing from the Southern Cross, but just to get confirmation of that. Secondly, are we talking, apartments or housing, or both on that location? Personally I would be very opposed to apartments there. There's housing all around and I think if there is going to be something there it should be traditional housing. Thirdly, will there be a height restriction
comparable to the heights of the houses in Mountain view Drive applying in that particular location? I'll make my decision then based on what the reply is, Cathaoirleach. Thank you.

CATHAOIRLEACH: Thanks. C11r. Matthews.
CLLR. MATTHEWS: Cathaoirleach, just on the description of that site. On the second line:
"The site is surrounded by existing housing areas to the west and north, by zoned employment land to the west..."

MR. O'BRIEN: should be east.
CLLR. MATTHEWS: Okay. That's grand.
MR. O'BRIEN: I'd like to thank Cllr. Matthews for spotting the deliberate error we put in there!
Look, Cllr. Behan, in the planning application that was granted we actually suggested putting housing backing on to Mountain View, otherwise it would have been a car park where then residents' back gardens would have been open to a pub7ic area. So there was a line of housing all along the back and then there was also some -there was the big box probably elements of Superquinn and I think there was to be a discount store and then there was more neighbourhood shops and housing above them as well at the time. So the idea at the time was to protect the residential amenities in Mountain view. I think the access, I mean I think there was been no through road into Ard Na Greine at that time from the come in through Ard Na Greine, but it could also come in through the Southern Cross Road.
CLLR. BEHAN: And what about height? Are they houses
or apartments.
MR. O'BRIEN: The density is 40 to the hectare, which 16 to the acre. There could be some apartments but it might be low rise apartments, you're not going to have high rise apartments there because it is two-storey, generally, housing around there. There might be some three-storey housing further away from Mountain View Park. That's what I would envisage now.
CLLR. BEHAN: Thank you.
CATHAOIRLEACH: Cllr. O'Connor.
CLLR. O'CONNOR: Sorry, Cathaoirleach.
CATHAOIRLEACH: You didn't press, did you not. Sorry. okay, we have a proposal. Do I have a proposer for the Chief Executive's amendment 17?
CLLR. VANCE: I'11 propose it.
CLLR. RYAN: I'11 second it.
MS. GALLAGHER: C11r. Vance, seconded by C11r. Ryan.
Is that agreed?
FROM THE FLOOR: Agreed.
CATHAOIRLEACH: Okay, the Chief Executive's proposal
number 18 zoning table.
MR. O'BRIEN: Cathaoirleach, we inadvertently omitted zoning descriptions for the neighbourhood centre and retail and the third one which was predominantly employment falls due to amendments made already in the process. So it's just really, it's the neighbourhood centre and the E3 retail.

CATHAOIRLEACH: That's in red, is it? MR. O'BRIEN: That's in red, yeah. And the E4
employment falls because C11r. Matthews proposed a change for AO Smith. It's now strategic local objective, or special local objective. This is more technical.
CATHAOIRLEACH: Any questions on that proposal by the CE?

CLLR. MATTHEWS: Just a brief question.
CATHAOIRLEACH: Sorry, Cl1r. Fox was in first.
CLLR. FOX: Just to clarify that a neighbourhood centre does allow for hotel, does it?
MR. CURRAN: Yes, it's said there.
CATHAOIRLEACH: C11r. Matthews.
CLLR. MATTHEWS: Just on page 46 the box there, the second paragraph:
"Uses general appropriate for town..."

Should that be Town Centre, is it, or just town? MR. CURRAN: Town Centre.

CLLR. MATTHEWS: Okay.
CATHAOIRLEACH: Any other comments? Is that proposal agreed then by the Members?
MS. GALLAGHER: Do we have a proposer?
CATHAOIRLEACH: Proposer C11r. Vance; seconder
C11r. Winters. Is that agreed by the Members?
FROM THE FLOOR: Agreed.
CATHAOIRLEACH: Okay, on to map number 2. The CE's proposal number 20 and C11r. Behan's proposal number 4, Bray Retail Park. I think they're both covering the
same topic. C11r. Behan, do you wish to comment on your proposal?
CLLR. BEHAN: Cathaoirleach, I just want to get clarification first of all. As Councillors can see there, the lower map, the lower diagram and the E1 zoning. Now in the Chief Executive's original Draft Plan, is that a new zoning of land that was unzoned before or was that land zoned in the previous plan? And if it was zoned in the previous plan, what was it zoned as? Just a clarification first of all, Cathaoirleach, before I go any further. MS. WALSH: Thank you, Cathaoirleach. The land on the upper map there on your sheet, E3, zoned retail warehousing in the Draft Plan and in previous plan. So the current zoning for that land is all E3 retail warehousing. what the Chief Executive is recommending is the part that's underdeveloped. So this is where the big Woodies is, the Woodies Retail Park in Bray. The land in behind that that's currently zoned for additional retail warehousing is just amended to more general employment use because we're not recommending or we don't see the need for another large block of retail warehousing at that location. But given that land is already zoned for that type of building and type of use, we feel it would be suitable for more general, mixed employment type.

C11r. Behan's proposal, which is part of his wider Kilruddery proposal that we discussed the last day,
entailed omitting the undeveloped lot. So on your lower map there, the area in purple that is shown as E1. So they're the two proposals that are being proposed to omit the land completely or to change it from retail warehousing to general employment. CLLR. BEHAN: Okay, Cathaoirleach, I won't delay people but the reason why I was proposing omitting that was that that's going to quite high up the side of the Sugar Loaf Mountain. To be consistent in other decisions we've made about the Sugar Loaf on the last occasion, I think we should take that out of the plan at this point in time and at least but it for public display to take it out and see what proposals or arguments are made to put it back in. I think that's going to encroach seriously on the slopes of the Sugar Loaf. That's why I'm proposing my amendment. CATHAOIRLEACH: C11r. Vance.

CLLR. VANCE: Chairman, I'd second that. I've been consistent in regard to the Southern Cross in regard to the contours on it and to my knowledge this is far too high and with those buildings the size they are as well, $I$ just couldn't go along with this. I just think this is far too high. I certainly couldn't go along with it. I second that.

MS. GALLAGHER: Seconded by C11r. Vance.
CATHAOIRLEACH: Any other comments? I'11 take a vote then on Cllr. Behan's proposal.

MS. GALLAGHER: Proposed by C11r. Behan; seconded by cllr. Vance. [VOTE TAKEN] That's 29 for; and three not
present.
CATHAOIRLEACH: That means I don't need to take a vote on number 20 by the $C E$.

Next item, number 21 proposed by the CE. Again, this is just to do with maps. Map 2.

MR. O'BRIEN: This is where someone brought to our attention this really is open space land rather than residential land and we're just correcting that to reflect what's on the ground.

CATHAOIRLEACH: Do I have a proposer?
CLLR. MATTHEWS: Proposed.
MS. GALLAGHER: C11r. Matthews. Seconded
Cl1r. Lawless.
CATHAOIRLEACH: Is that agreed?
FROM THE FLOOR: Agreed.
CATHAOIRLEACH: I think we're at the last item, number 22 from the CE.

MR. O'BRIEN: Second last item.
CATHAOIRLEACH: Sorry.
MR. O'BRIEN: This number 22 , there's no map here on this but there's a green infrastructure map which really shows greenways and connected green spaces and it's been brought to our attention that there's some non-publicly owned land in there. We just want to
amend that map. There's an awful lot of them so we actually don't have a map there. This will go on display and they can be taken out again at the end of display, if necessary. This is more just to correct
this.
CATHAOIRLEACH: Do you have any comments or a proposer? CLLR. O'CONNOR: I'11 propose that.

CATHAOIRLEACH: Proposed by C11r. O'Connor.
MS. GALLAGHER: Seconded by C11r. Walsh. Agreed? 19:44
CATHAOIRLEACH: C11r. Behan would like to speak.
CLLR. BEHAN: I just want to make on comment on it. What this is really saying is, for example for Councillors from Bray, the Danish Embassy land at the moment shows a lot of open space around the big house.
What that is, as I understand it from talking to Bernadette, $I$ think, is that that land at the moment looks as green space. So by taking out that map we're effectively saying that's all ready for development, including where the trees are and so on. while it might look a tidy way of solving a problem, it actually could have unforeseen consequences because there could be certain7y many parts of Bray that we think are green but by taking out the map we're taking away a protection for some of that green space. So on that basis I'd be opposing it, Cathaoirleach, and I would cal1 for a vote on it.

CATHAOIRLEACH: C11r. O'Connor, you wanted to come back?

CLLR. O'CONNOR: Thanks, Cathaoirleach. I think, to be 19:45 honest, this is a matter of tidying up plans that need to be tidied up to make things more simplified and for ease of understanding for the citizens of Bray. If there was an issue there such as C11r. Behan is talking
about, $I$ think it can certainly be sorted out at the end of the day. I personally don't think we can be sitting here thinking that the Council are trying to get one over on us at every single opportunity. I don't think that's what this is about. If, for example, and I agree for C11r. Behan, that would be a horrendous development should something happen in those particular lands that C11r. Behan referred to, I think that's something that'11 have to come before the Council. But for now this is a simple matter of tidying up some maps.

CATHAOIRLEACH: C11r. Whitmore.
CLLR. WHITMORE: Can I just offer an alternative view on that? when I look at, say, in Greystones and you see a lot of green space you think there's a false sense of security that you have all this open space to you but in actual fact a lot of the time the public can't access it. I think that by removing this from the maps it will really show exactly how much green public space is available in Bray and elsewhere. I
think it's something that should apply across the board and I think it will sort of keep it on our agendas that we may need to improve and bring in more open space that the public can use, as opposed to looking at something like that golf course and having the sense that we have lots of land available for people to wander in when it's actually not the case. That's just the flip side.

CATHAOIRLEACH: Cllr. Fox.

CLLR. FOX: Thank you, Chairman. Is there a different designation that we can give such lands? I mean it doesn't make sense to have land that's not open to the public being declared as open space and parks. But perhaps there is an alternative type of zoning that we could give those type of land. Thank you, Chairman. CATHAOIRLEACH: C11r. Matthews.

CLLR. MATTHEWS: It's a similar question to Cllr. Fox's. If we do omit it as being that green colour that we use for all the Os, what colour are we proposing to make it and what would the zoning on it be? Would it be existing residential then?
CATHAOIRLEACH: I'11 ask the planners to come back on that. If you're you moving it from that what are you moving it to?
MS. WALSH: Thank you, Cathaoirleach. Just to clarify. The green infrastructure map that went with the map is not a zoning map. So nothing that's shown green on that green infrastructure map is necessarily zoned open space of any form in the zoning map. So there may be
lands that are zoned for new residential or for employment or a whole range of uses on the zoning map but on the GI map they're showing up as green assets. I'd agree with what C11r. Whitmore was saying that that's giving a distorted picture of what green assets are available for the public to use and for nature to thrive in. A number of these sites would be sites that you, as Members, are designating for development and yet there might be a perception from looking at the GI
map that they're being preserved for open space or for habitat development.

So the green infrastructure map is supposed to give a picture of the networks of open spaces that exist, whether they be long streams or rivers and how they're connected to each other. So the green infrastructure map that we published erroneous7y, in my opinion, included lands that included people's private gardens, for example, as well as lands that are identified as development sites.

This came about because the green infrastructure map was very difficult to create because you're trying to build a picture of all different types of green assets that are out there and we draw on numerous map sources, sourced from the Ordnance Survey, survey work and so on and we believe these sites ended up in the map really in error. In trying to be overzealous to include everything, we perhaps included too much. What we would propose is to clean up that map and put it out on display and if there's a difficulty with that at the next stage, obviously the Members can reject that amendment. Essentially it's as C11r. whitmore set out, it's giving a false picture of what the green assets are in Bray.

CATHAOIRLEACH: So there's no implication for zoning then?

MS. WALSH: No, this is a GI map. You're not dealing
with a zoning map.
CATHAOIRLEACH: Do I have a proposer for the CE's proposal?
MS. GALLAGHER: C11r. O'Connor, seconded by
Cllr. Walsh.
CATHAOIRLEACH: Do you want to go for a vote then?
CLLR. BEHAN: I just want to be recorded as against it but if no one else is you don't need a vote, it's recorded on this.
CATHAOIRLEACH: If you're against it we'11 have a vote. 19:50 CLLR. BEHAN: Okay.
[VOTE TAKEN]
MS. GALLAGHER: That's 25 for; three against; and four not present.
CATHAOIRLEACH: Last item on the Bray Plan, item number 19:51 23 CE's proposal. Quite a long proposal actually.

MR. O'BRIEN: Cathaoirleach this in response to the
Department of Housing, Planning and Local Government who wanted to have an implementation in phasing part of the plan. It's something they've looked for previously in the Arklow Plan as well which we put before you. So it's quite long but there's not an awful lot of substance in it. It's very, very obvious stuff. It's what was asked for by the Department.
CATHAOIRLEACH: Could I ask if there is anything significant in it that's a change for existing policy. MR. O'BRIEN: No, not in my opinion, Chairman. CATHAOIRLEACH: Any other comments? C11r. Behan. CLLR. BEHAN: Well there is one significant thing in
it, I think anyway because it goes against the motion that C11r. Vance and C11r. Fox proposed last week, with regard to the phasing of the Fassaroe active open space which I understood they had proposed would be done in Phase 1. On page 17 you can see that $50 \%$ of the active ${ }_{19: 53}$ open space back in Phase 2. Now that wasn't what was agreed last week, I think.
MS. WALSH: Cathaoirleach, if I might just come in there. This phasing and implementation plan was issued to you before Christmas, before that proposal was put to us or to you. So your final vote at the end of this process will be to put all these amendments that you've agreed on display, plus any changes consequent. So a change consequent, this would be a perfect example of it, if there are any changes needed to this amendment on foot of an amendment you've already made, then that's what'll go on display.

MR. O'bRIEN: Having said that, cllr. Behan is right. If we'd been on our mark we should have actually noted that we amended it. Thank you for pointing it out.
CLLR. BEHAN: You're welcome.
CATHAOIRLEACH: Do I have a proposer for the CE's item number 23 then?

CLLR. VANCE: Propose.
CATHAOIRLEACH: Vance and Matthews.
MS. GALLAGHER: Is that agreed?
FROM THE FLOOR: Agreed.
CATHAOIRLEACH: We have to vote on putting this on public display. Just read out the proposal by the CE.

MS. GALLAGHER: The proposal that the Chief Executive's report as per the amendments proposed and agreed by the mention, the recommended amendments of the Chief Executive as agreed by the Members and any changes consequent be adopted and put on public display as per the statutory requirements.

CATHAOIRLEACH: Do I have a proposer?
CLLR. RYAN: Proposed.
CATHAOIRLEACH: Do I have a seconder?
CLLR. VANCE: I'11 second it.
CLLR. BEHAN: Cathaoirleach, could I comment on that please?
CATHAOIRLEACH: Sure.
CLLR. BEHAN: I want to propose an amendment that we defer the putting out of the plan on public display tonight on the basis of a statement that was made last Monday while our meeting was in progress by the Minister for the OPW, Kevin Boxer Moran, where he pointed out that he did not want to see and was not in favour of building on floodplains. Now obviously that information came out while we were meeting.

I know, I understand decisions were made last week on that but I think we have to have cognisance of what he said. But we also have to have cognisance of something else that didn't really get a full airing last week but this is the opportunity to raise it now is whether anybody who builds on that floodplain, whether it's houses, shops, offices, whatever it is, whether they
will be able to get insurance for their property because people may or may not be aware that in the Town of Bray in areas that are close to rivers, tributaries of the Dargle, not even the Dargle, are finding it increasingly difficult to get insurance for their property. So if we vote to put this plan on display what we're really saying is that we believe all of these developments can be insured and I think we need to satisfy ourselves that that is the case. I don't see what the big rush is between now and let's say the meeting in February, to get information from the Insurance Federation, Insurance Authority and also to seek the advice of the OPW and the Minister for the OPW, with regard to that specific proposal regarding building on the floodplain at the River Dargle.

So on that basis I'm proposing an amendment that we defer putting the plan on public display until we get further clarification on those two items.
CATHAOIRLEACH: C11r. O'Connor.
CLLR. O'CONNOR: I'11 certainly second that proposal by Cl1r. Behan. It's a very, very important issue and if you can't get insurance for your house you might as well move out because eventually the house may be in serious trouble and the people down there can't afford to fix them.
CATHAOIRLEACH: Could I ask cllr. Behan if you could please put that in writing. C11r. Fox.
CLLR. FOX: Thank you, Chairman. well, I'm not in
favour of deferring it because I thought the point of putting it out on public display was you were putting it all to all relevant stakeholders to make comment and put their information forward. I assume this will be sent out to all the relevant agencies, including the Minister's Department so I certainly am in favour of proceeding with putting it on public display, putting it out there for comment. That's the concept of putting it on public display, Chairman. So I certainly wouldn't support the motion.
CATHAOIRLEACH: Cllr. Vance.
CLLR. VANCE: Chairman, I'm of the same opinion. We had a vote on this last week. We actually had a vote on this last week and I mean really and truly this is for the plan to go out for the public to have another four weeks to have a look at it again. Really and truly, like it's anti-democratic to say the least because we had a vote last week and a very significant majority of members voted in favour obviously of building there for various reasons and we've had a debate last week and as far as I'm concerned nothing has changed in the meantime and the least we can do to the people of bray is and the surrounding areas is to allow this to go out for their further consideration. Totally against this.
CATHAOIRLEACH: Cl1r. Kavanagh.
CLLR. KAVANAGH: Thanks, Cathaoirleach, I agree with $\mathrm{Cl1r}$. Behan that we were unaware at the time that we took this vote that not necessarily a directive but a
recommendation had gone out from Minister Moran to say that under no circumstances, that we should have learned from the mistakes in the past and that under no circumstances would there be building of any description on floodplains. He's from the Athlone area so I mean he has witnessed firsthand what happens when you build on floodplains. And I think it would be absolutely reckless of us, I mean what's the problem of waiting four weeks or a couple of weeks till the next meeting just to find out how house owners would be fixed from an insurance point of view? It's only a couple of weeks. It makes no difference in the overal1 scheme of things. What's the hurry? I think it's reckless to put this out to public consultation without knowing the full facts and having disregard to an instruction from the Minister at the OPW. Thank you. CATHAOIRLEACH: C11r. Sne11.

CLLR. SNELL: Thanks, Cathaoirleach. As someone who was against this zoning last week and voted accordingly, you know, while I was disappointed in the way the vote went I certainly don't want to stand in the way of the planning process. This is only going out on public display. The public will have their say.

In regards to Boxer Moran, to be honest I'd take anything the Minister says with a pinch of salt. Boxer Moran presented here last week without a topcoat down the Murrough and you know the dogs in the street know that if you're going up the Murrough you wear a
topcoat, or for that matter down in Arklow as well. So anything he says I'd take very much with a pinch of salt.

In regards to being an expert on floodplains and everything else, he knows exactly what's needed in regards to this County. To say that he said something on Monday, you know, they invested $€ 47$ million into the Dargle Flood Protection. Boxer Moran, believe it or not, could be the last arbitrator on this plan, if he so wants to. As the Minister he can decide and, you know, we need to be conscious of that, of all the plans and everything and all the work that's gone into it, if he wants he can give a directive. In my opinion this should go out to public display.
CATHAOIRLEACH: Cl1r. whitmore.
CLLR. WHITMORE: Just as C11r. Snell was saying, I just wanted to clarify if the Minister could make a direction, a specific direction in relation to this LAP and I don't know whether even as a Council that we
would contact him to say that it is now on display and if he wants to make or put a contribution in, rather than taking something that was just in the media, that he could actually make a specific recommendation and direction on it, but not to delay the whole process but ${ }^{20: 02}$ just to put it back to him and give him that opportunity if he so wishes.
MR. CURRAN: It's a different minister. It is minister Owen Murphy who can make the direction, the Minister
for Housing, Planning and Local Government but it will go to the OPW in any case and they can make a submission.

CLLR. WHITMORE: But he can make a submission at that point to say that he would absolutely recommend it didn't happen?
MR. CURRAN: Absolutely.
CATHAOIRLEACH: Cllr. O'brien.
CLLR. O'BRIEN: I propose an amendment to cllr. Behan's amendment. I propose that all the plan bar this particular aspect of the plan goes out on public display. It is such an important issue. I think information did come in at a later stage that we weren't aware at the time. And given that, my proposal is that the whole plan goes out bar this particular aspect of the plan.
CATHAOIRLEACH: Do you have a seconder?
CLLR. WHITMORE: Is that possible?
ms. WALSH: No.
CLLR. BOURKE: It's not legal.
CLLR. BEHAN: It's not possible, is it not?
CATHAOIRLEACH: I don't know.
MR. O'BRIEN: Once it goes on display it goes on
display for so many weeks, another Chief Executive's report is done and it comes back to the Members. What ${ }^{20: 03}$ Cl1r. O'brien is proposing is that you run the vote again on the Dargle and then take out. That's really what you're proposing. You can't sort of half take it out and then put it back in again later on.

CATHAOIRLEACH: Is C11r. O'Brien's proposal, is it a valid proposal?
MS. WALSH: The only matters that can be considered at the next Council meeting, Cathaoirleach, are the amendments. So if there's no amendment agreed and put on display then the matter does not even come up on the agenda for the final meeting in May.
CATHAOIRLEACH: C11r. O'Brien has a proposal, we've got to get a seconder before we can --

MS. GALLAGHER: He needs to put it in writing and submit it to the Chair.

CATHAOIRLEACH: Can anyone guide us on whether that proposal is valid?
MR. O'BRIEN: The proposal was to take it out now and then possibly put it back in again?
CLLR. VANCE: Chairman, what was the status of last week's vote then? Can somebody tell me what's the status of last week's vote in regard to this? This is a vote to put the plan out for public consultation. what was last week's vote about then if we're going to have a second go at it again here today. CLLR. BEHAN: On a point of order.

MR. CURRAN: It has already been agreed to keep it in.
CLLR. BEHAN: A11 I'm proposing is that we defer putting it on public display until we get clarification 20:04 about the question of insurance and the view of the Minister for the OPW. That's all I'm saying. And I don't see what the --

CLLR. FITZGERALD: Chairman, can could I just come in
there. Boxer Moran, the Minister is a lovely fella and he was in Arklow and it is alluded to, he ran up the grass and he ran down this, that and the other. It doesn't come within his remit to make a suggestion like that. Minister Owen Murphy is the person who should make that statement. Boxer Moran's stint as minister will be finished in June or July. We'll have another minister there. I'm listening to floodplains since I was born. I was nearly reared in one. I spoke to the Minister for several minutes in Arklow. Never once did he mention floodplains. He was there to give funding. He agreed to give funding. I haven't heard anything about it yet but he agreed he would give funding for flood protection works on the North Beach. That's what he was there for. It's certainly not within his remit. ${ }^{20: 05}$ Let him make his own proposal when it goes into the Department that we shouldn't build on floodplains. I'm hearing this in Arklow for 50 years and the town is built on floodplains. Right. And we've had some floods over the years. I believe we should go ahead
with it. It was voted here on just last week. We should go ahead with it. Let the people have their say and forget about delaying things which are very important, to be quite honest about it.

This thing of delaying for this and delaying for the other and it won't make a difference of a week or two weeks or whatever, it's like talking about the GAA with the flooding of the matches: we don't want it on next
week, we'11 have it the following week. Let's go ahead with it. Let's put it out to the people. That's what we're here to do.

CLLR. FOX: I'd second that.
CLLR. BEHAN: Chairman, on a point of clarification it was actually delayed by a week after Christmas anyway. Right. And there was nothing about it. Someone at the top table, you or somebody decided we're not going to have it the first Monday, we're going to have it the second Monday. That was fine. It's another two weeks to find out can people get insurance down here if it's built on? I think that's a very common sense and important question. For the sake of two more weeks to get those answers, what's wrong with that? I don't see what's wrong with it.
CLLR. FITZGERALD: we delayed it for a week for different reasons but now we have voted on it.

CLLR. BEHAN: For someone else's reasons.
CLLR. FITZGERALD: No, it doesn't make a difference what it is. The point is, we have voted on it now and we are being asked to delay it. We had no vote on it and the meeting was put back to the 15th fine, the meeting was put back. I think we should go ahead about it and get it over with.
CATHAOIRLEACH: Director, you want to come in. MR. O'BRIEN: I just want on to, just on a point of information for cllr. Behan. It wasn't delayed by us, you actually asked for it to be delayed, Councillor, because the meeting of the Bray Councillors was very,
very short and as I said to you at the time we have on7y six weeks to do it and if it's not done within six weeks the Chief Executive can actually adopt any amendments that were made and anything else, if it's not done, he can adopt himself.

So I said the time, it's not a big deem, the Chief Executive is willing to put it out a week. We had to go another week because we ran out of time last week. So it wasn't that we delayed things for the hell of it. 20:08 I don't think we can go on indefinitely delaying it and I'd say it would be a matter then for the Chief Executive to have to make a decision. You know we don't like doing that in this place. We always work with the Councillors but the Act is fairly clear. There are dead1ines all the time.

There was a time that Development Plans used to take ten years to adopt because they'd be put off making votes again and again. The legislation made it that plans have a six-year life and they have a very, very strict timetable of adopting them and it's worked very, very well. We can't go on delaying plans indefinitely. Really it's a matter for the Chief Executive to decide if it's not adopted tonight.

I'm not going to actually second-guess what he's going to say, nor do I suggest he has to make his mind up here and tell you what he's going to do. It is the

Chief Executive's prerogative to take the plan forward if the Members don't do it themselves.

MR. CURRAN: In this case it is going to go to the OPW anyway. We'11 get their views back. The whole thing about floodplains, as Cllr. Fitzgerald said, there's a lot of towns built on floodplains but there's a difference between a flowing floodplain and a normal floodplain. There is, in the Flood Planning Guidelines a whole justification process that you go through in terms of determining planning applications, which was done some before in this case.

As I say, it's going to go to the OPW anyway. It's going on public display for a further month. we can get whatever information we want in the meantime. So I 20:09 don't see the point in deferring it.

CATHAOIRLEACH: C11r. Whitmore.
CLLR. WHITMORE: Just to clarify, is it going to the OPW or is it going to be sent to the Minister?
MR. O'BRIEN: It is sent to prescribed bodies and I'm 20:09 very sure the OPW is a prescribed body, I just don't have the list in front of me. If they're not $I$ will send it to the Minister. They are a prescribed body. CLLR. WHITMORE: I was going to propose that the Council submit the LAP to Minister Moran, specifically bring his attention to that section and to request his input in it.

MR. O'BRIEN: No problem.
CATHAOIRLEACH: C11r. Ryan.

CLLR. RYAN: I don't think C11r. O'Brien's proposal, I know it hasn't got a seconder yet but I don't think it is technically possible. I think that should go.

There's so much in this plan which is amount the development of Bray and bringing housing and retail and commercial, $I$ don't want to stop that for a minute because it needs to happen yesterday. So this is just faffing around. So please can we just have a vote and do this once and for all?

CATHAOIRLEACH: C11r. Winters.
CLLR. WINTERS: Thanks, Cathaoirleach. I don't even know where you'd go to ask about insurance because I know people who live in Wicklow Town, literally the Martin Road, which is, I think, about 30 metres above sea level and they went for insurance this year and they were told they were in floodplain and they're going: I'm not in a floodplain, I'm 30 metres above ground. Like the nearest stream is actually 30 metres down the hi11 and they said: oh, that's now marked as a floodplain. I don't know where you would even get a correct answer in relation to flooding.

So no, I think you just give it the OPW they are prescribed bodies and if somebody comes back within the 20:11 regulated times to advise you otherwise, I mean I don't know, is it actually possible, like we do in a County Development Plan to do a material contravention? or can you do that in a Local Area Plan or do you just go,
if we did want to change it all in six months' time? I'm not saying we do but just if we did.
CATHAOIRLEACH: Cllr. Behan.
CLLR. BeHAN: My last point, Cathaoirleach. Insurance is critical to this question and there are people in Bray at the moment who cannot get competitive quotes for insurance because they're living near much smaller rivers than the Dargle. we are planning for something when we actually do not know that it will be possible to insure it. So multimillions of euro allegedly is going to be spent building this big retail centre when we don't know if they'11 ever be able to get insurance.

All this talk about delaying it for a week. You know, Councillors should remember actually that the planners have said it won't be adopted until May anyway. So another two weeks is not going to make any difference and I think it would be a lot safer to get all the information we can before we make the final decision. That's all I'm saying, Cathaoirleach. I'll say no more. I think that's it.
CATHAOIRLEACH: Cllr. vance.
CLLR. VANCE: Do you know, Chairman, I'm from Little Bray. I know that area better than anyone in this Council and I was in the flood in the sixties and I had 20:12 firsthand experience of the one in the eighties as well. Little Bray would be flooded before Golf club lands or anything. And the amazing thing about it is, nobody has objected to any development and there's
current planning permissions in Little Bray for numerous developments down there and nobody has objected to any of them because they were built on a floodplain. That's a fact. Extensions, buildings and a11. There's even current ones in there down there at the moment. Nobody objected that they were built. They were actually in a floodplain and they would be the first place that if a catastrophe happened that they would be flooded. This is a fact. Everyone's concerned about Golf Club lands where Little Bray would 20:13 be flooded before that.

Listen, we had a vote last week. Let's put this out. Let's put this out like we voted for, exactly what we knew what was going to happen last week and the
Minister, the Minister didn't contact me about anything in regard to building on floodplains and the fact of the matter is, he knows a bit about floodplains down in Athlone but he doesn't know much about floodplains anywhere else and he should take advice from his own Department who are the main movers in this in regard to doing all the plans for the Bray scheme as well, Chairman. Can we just go ahead with this and put it out to public display.
CATHAOIRLEACH: Thanks, Members and thanks for your contributions over the last week. I want to just put forward a proposal, first of all C11r. Behan's amendment I want to put that to a vote and then we'11 have the other vote.

MS. GALLAGHER: Okay. Proposed by C11r. Behan and seconded by Cllr. O'Connor that this Council defers a decision to put the Bray Municipal District Development Plan on public display until clarification has been received by the Minister for Public Works and the Irish insurance authorities on the question of insurance of buildings to be built in the Dargle River floodplain. [VOTE TAKEN] That's eight for; 19 against; and five not present.

The next vote again, that the Chief Executive's report as per the amendments proposed and agreed by the Members, the recommended amendments of the Chief Executive as agreed by the Members and any changes consequent be adopted and put on public display as Peart the statutory requirements. Proposed by John Ryan and seconded by C11r. Pat Vance. [VOTE TAKEN] That is 19 for; eight against; and five not present. CATHAOIRLEACH: Thanks, Members. Just one other item on the agenda. Item number 2: To consider the Chief Executive's report and submissions to proposed Variation Number 1 to the Wicklow County Development Plan 2016-2022 (previous7y circulated); and to consider making or modifying the proposed variation related to Arklow and Environs Local Area Plan and Bray Municipal District Local Area Plan deferred from last week's meeting.

MR. O'BRIEN: Cathaoirleach, this is to make our Local Plan consistent with the County Plan so there's various
columns here under the population and number of houses. This has been changed to reflect the amendments made to the Draft Local Area Plan. So, for instance, the Enniskerry and Kilmacanogue plans, there were separate town and village plans in the County Plan, they're now been taken out of the County Plan because they're now in the Local Area Plan. I don't think there's anything of substance in this that changes anything. This is all consistent with what you passed in the Local Area Plan. But it's necessary that the Local Area Plan is consistent with the County plan. So it is a kind of a technical variation.
CATHAOIRLEACH: Any comments from Members? Do I have a proposer? C11r. Winters. Seconder Cllr. McLoughlin. Is that agreed?
FROM THE FLOOR: Agreed.
MR. O'bRIEN: Can I just say, Cathaoirleach, that it is amended as per what you have in front of you, plus any changes consequent because we might not have called everything in this.
CATHAOIRLEACH: okay.
CLLR. BEHAN: Could you say that again?
MR. O'BRIEN: Any changes consequent in the plan.
MS. WALSH: As a consequence of any changes made to the LAP this evening.
CLLR. BEHAN: This will be changed again?
MR. O'BRIEN: No. We've caught most things but just any changes to the consequent to the Local Area Plan being adopted.

CATHAOIRLEACH: Consequent to the Bray -MR. O'BRIEN: The Bray Municipal District and Local Area Plan. I think we've gone through everything there, Councillor, but just in case.
CATHAOIRLEACH: Is that agreed?
FROM THE FLOOR: Agreed.
CATHAOIRLEACH: Thanks, Members.
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| Moran's [1] - | 35:29, 38:5 | 6:14, 7:24, 8:4 | 12:7, 14:2, $21: 3$ | 18:22, 26:24 | parks [1] - 27:4 |
| 38:6 | Murrough [2] - | north [1] - 19:6 | obvious [2] - | opposing [1] - | part [8]-2:27, |
| most [6] - 9:6, | 34:28, 34:29 | North [1] - 38:14 | 13:29, $29: 23$ | 25:21 | $4: 13,6: 23,12: 26$ |
| 9:23, 10:26, |  | noted [1] - 30:19 | 11:28, 12:17, | optimise [1] - | 15:20, 22:17, |


| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 22:28, 29:19 } \\ & \text { particular }[17]- \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 27: 25,28: 5 \\ & 28: 15,28: 25 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 13:10, 14:25, } \\ & \text { 15:17, 16:18, } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 41:28 } \\ & \text { problems [1] - } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 25: 2,29: 2,30: 22, \\ & 31: 7,46: 14 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 6: 21,15: 28 \\ & \text { 19:14, 30:28, } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2:7, 5:12, 7:16, | piece [1]-2:29 | 18:3, 23:12, 33:1, | 13:15 | proposing [10] - | 31:15, 32:18, |
| 7:26, 8:25, 8:26, | Pig [1]-3:13 | 34:11, 36:5, | proceed [1] - 4:7 | 10:10, 14:9, | 33:2, 33:7, 33:9, |
| 10:21, 11:8, | pinch [2] - | 37:22, 39:5 | proceeding [1] | 18:19, 23:7 | 37:25 |
| 13:24, 16:18, | 34:26, 35:2 | 39:20, 39:26, | 33:7 | 23:16, 27:11, |  |
| 16:28, 17:8, | pinpoint [1] - | 41:16, 43:4 | process [8] - | 32:17, 36:26, | Q |
| 18:12, 18:27, | 4:25 | pointed [1] - | 12:27, 15:25, | 36:28, 37:24 |  |
| 26:8, 36:11, | place [3]-13:21, | 31:19 | 16:3, 20:26, | protect [1] - |  |
| $36: 15$ | 40:14, 44:8 | pointing [1] - | $30: 12,34: 22$ | 19:23 | $\begin{gathered} \text { quality [5] - } \\ 9: 24,14: 17, \end{gathered}$ |
| pa | Plan [32]-2:26, |  | 35:25, 41:9 |  | 14:18, 14:21, |
| 6:22 parts | 5:5, 5:19, 6:27, $7: 5,12: 15,12: 16$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { points [1] - } \\ & 18: 13 \end{aligned}$ | profits [1]-6: | 10:3, 10:6, 10: | 14:23 |
| pass [1]-15:28 passed [2] - | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 12:19, 13:23, } \\ & \text { 16:3, 17:26, } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { policy [2]-10:6, } \\ & \text { 29:26 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 31:17 } \\ & \text { promised [1] - } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 35:9 } \\ & \text { protection [3] - } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { quarters [1] - } \\ & \text { 15:27 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 6:24, 46:9 | 18:11, 22:7, | population [1] - | 10:26 | $4: 6,25: 20,38: 14$ | $13: 12$ |
| past [1]-34:3 | 22:14, 29:15, | 46:1 | property [5] - | provide [1] - | questions |
| Pat $[1]-45: 17$ Peart $[1]-45 \cdot 16$ | $29: 21,42: 28$, $42: 29,45: 4$ | portion [3] - 6:9, 14.19 17.29 | 2:26, 3:4, 8:26, | 13:15 | $21: 5$ |
| Peart [1] - 45:16 people [21]-5:4, | $\begin{aligned} & 42: 29,45: 4 \\ & 45: 23,45: 25 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { 14:19, 17:29 } \\ \text { positives [1] - } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 32: 1,32: 6 \\ & \text { proposal [36] - } \end{aligned}$ | provides [1] - 10:7 | quite [9]-4:28, |
| 9:6, 10:15, 10:20, | $45: 26,45: 29$ | 11:17 | $5: 18,6: 25,9: 9$ | Public [1] - 45:5 | $\begin{aligned} & 8: 29,11: 9,13: 1 \\ & 16: 13,23: 8, \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & 10: 28,11: \\ & 12 \cdot 1912 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 46: 3,46: 5, \\ & 46: 7,46: 10, \end{aligned}$ | possible $8: 28,11: 26$ | $\begin{aligned} & 9: 14,10: 1 \\ & 10: 22,17 \end{aligned}$ | public [31] - | $29: 16,29: 22$ |
| 16:2, 18:16, 23:6, | $46: 28,47: 3$ <br> plan [23]-3:12 | $36: 18,36: 21$ | 17:19, 17:24, 20:13, 20:20 | $\begin{aligned} & 16: 14,16: 17 \\ & 19: 17,23: 12 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 38: 24 \\ & \text { quotes }[1]-43: 6 \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & 26: 26,32: 2 \\ & 32: 25,33: 23 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { plan [23]-3:12, } \\ 13: 24,13: 27, \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { 42:3, 42:27, 43:9 } \\ \text { possibly [2] - } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 20:13, 20:20, } \\ & \text { 21:5, 21:21, } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 19:17, 23:12, } \\ & 26: 17.26: 20 \end{aligned}$ |  |
| $32.25,32,39: 2$, | 22:8, 22:9, 22:14, | 5:5, 37:15 | 21:28, 22:2, | 26:24, 27:4, | R |
| 39:11, 42:14, | 23:11, 29:20 | redominantly | 22:28, 22:29 | 27:26, 30:29, |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 43:5 } \\ & \text { people's [1] - } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 30: 9,31: 15,32: 6, \\ & 32: 18,33: 15, \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { [1] - 20:24 } \\ & \text { prerogative [1] - } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 23: 27,29: 3 \\ & 29: 16,30: 10 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 31: 5,31: 15 \\ & 32: 18,33: 2,33: 7 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { R20 [5]-9:15, } \\ & 9: 18,16: 22, \end{aligned}$ |
| $28: 9$ | $35: 10,36: 10$, $36: 11,36: 15$, | $41: 1$ | $\begin{aligned} & 30: 29,31: 1 \\ & 32: 14,32: 21 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 33: 9,33: 15 \\ & 34: 14,34: 23 \end{aligned}$ | $16: 26,17: 8$ |
| 31:5, 45:12, | 36:16, 37:19, | 41:20, 41:21, | 36:14, 37:1, 37:2, | 35:15, 36:11, |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 46:18 } \\ & \text { perception [1] } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 41: 1,42: 5,46: 11, \\ & 46: 23 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { 41:23, 42:25 } \\ \text { present }[5] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 37: 8,37: 13 \\ & 37: 14,38: 16 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 37: 19,37: 25, \\ & 41: 14,44: 24, \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { raise }[1]-31: 27 \\ & \text { ran }[3]-38: 2, \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 27:29 } \\ & \text { perfect }[1] \text { - } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { planners [7] - } \\ 2: 7,3: 8,4: 9, \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 17: 16,24: 1, \\ & 29: 14,45: 9 \end{aligned}$ | $42: 1,44: 27$ <br> proposals [3] - | 45:4, 45:15 publicly [1] - | $\begin{aligned} & 38: 3,40: 9 \\ & \text { range }[1]-27: 22 \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & 30: 14 \\ & \text { perhaps }[2]- \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 12: 25,12: 27 \\ & 27: 13,43: 15 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 45:18 } \\ & \text { presented [1] - } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 13: 26,23: 3 \\ & 23: 13 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 24:25 } \\ & \text { published [1] - } \end{aligned}$ | Rathdown [1] 4:23 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 27:5, 28:20 } \\ & \text { permission }[2]- \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { planning [12] - } \\ & 8: 29,12: 26, \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 34:27 } \\ & \text { preserved [1] } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { propose [16] - } \\ 2: 13,2: 29,3: 5 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 28:8 } \\ & \text { purple }[1]-23: 2 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { rather [4] - } \\ & \text { 16:22, 17:2, 24:8, } \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 9:1, 15:25 } \\ & \text { permissions [1] } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 12:27, 13:2, 13:5, } \\ & \text { 13:22, 15:24, } \end{aligned}$ | $28: 1$ <br> preserving [2] - | $\begin{aligned} & 9: 3,9: 19,14: 7 \\ & 15: 29,17: 29 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { purposes [1] - } \\ & 8: 4 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 35: 22 \\ & R E_{[1]}-10: 2 \end{aligned}$ |
| $-44: 1$ <br> permit [2]-4:16, | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 19:13, 34:22, } \\ & 41: 10,43: 8,44: 1 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 11:13, 15:22 } \\ & \text { press [1]-20:12 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 20: 15,25: 3 \\ & 28: 21,30: 24 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { put [32] - } 5: 4, \\ 15: 26,19: 12, \end{gathered}$ | read [1] - 30:29 reading [1] - |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 5:25 } \\ & \text { permitted }{ }_{[1]}- \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Planning [3] - } \\ \text { 29:18, 36:1, 41:8 } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { pressed [1] - } \\ & 8: 20 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 31: 14,36: 9 \\ & 36: 10,41: 24 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 23: 14,28: 21 \\ & 29: 21,30: 10 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 5: 10 \\ & \text { ready }[1]-25: 14 \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 12:11 } \\ & \text { person [1] - 38:5 } \\ & \text { personally [2] - } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { plans [9]-3:17, } \\ & \text { 15:2, 25:26, } \\ & 35: 12,40: 21, \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { pressure [1] - } \\ & \text { 11:27 } \\ & \text { prevailing [1] } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { proposed [19] - } \\ & 9: 18,14: 28, \\ & 17: 14,18: 18, \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 30: 12,31: 5,32: 6, \\ & 32: 28,33: 4, \\ & 34: 14,35: 22, \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { really }[14]-7: 6 \text {, } \\ & \text { 16:29, 20:26, } \\ & 24: 8,24: 23,25: 8, \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 18:22, } 26: 2 \\ & \text { petrol }[1]-11: 2 \end{aligned}$ Phase [2] - 30:5 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 40:23, 44:22, } \\ & \text { 46:4, 46:5 } \\ & \text { Plans [1] - 40:18 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 17:6 } \\ & \text { previous [3] - } \\ & 22: 8,22: 9,22: \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 21: 1,23: 4,23: 28 \\ & 24: 5,24: 12,25: 4 \\ & 30: 2,30: 4,31: 2 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 35: 26,36: 29, \\ & 37: 5,37: 10, \\ & 37: 15,37: 19 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 26:19, 28:18, } \\ & 31: 26,32: 7, \end{aligned}$ |
| 30:6 <br> phasing [3] - | play [1] - 8:2 <br> playing [1]-8:2 | previously $[2]$ - $29 \cdot 20,45 \cdot 23$ 29:20, 45:23 | $\begin{aligned} & 31: 8,45: 1,45: 12 \\ & 45: 16,45: 21 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 39: 2,39: 22, \\ & 39: 23,40: 8, \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 33: 14,33: 16 \\ & 36: 27,40: 24 \\ & \text { realm }[1]-10: 9 \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 29:19, 30:3, 30:9 } \\ & \text { picked [1] - 2:16 } \\ & \text { Pickled [1] - } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pleanála [1] - } \\ & \text { 12:18 } \\ & \text { plus }[3]-2: 9, \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { private }[2]- \\ 15: 18,28: 9 \\ \text { problem }[6]- \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 45:24 } \\ & \text { proposer [12] - } \\ & 3: 19,3: 24,8: 10, \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 40: 19,44: 13, \\ & 44: 14,44: 23, \\ & 44: 26,44: 28, \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { reared }[1]-38: 9 \\ & \text { reason }[4]- \\ & 9: 22,15: 5,17: 7, \end{aligned}$ |
| $3: 13$ <br> picture [4] - | $\begin{aligned} & 30: 13,46: 18 \\ & \text { point }[16]-13: 1, \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3: 22,8: 8,10: 18 \\ & 25: 16,34: 8 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 20: 13,21: 23 \\ & 21: 24,24: 11 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { 45:3, 45:15 } \\ \text { putting [12] - } \end{gathered}$ | $23: 7$ <br> reasonable [2] |




| Whitmore [5] - | Z |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & 26: 12,27: 24 \\ & 28: 24,35: 16 \end{aligned}$ |  |
| 41:17 | zone [5] - 9:18, |
| WHITMORE [6] - | 9:21, 9:27, 10:2, |
| 26:13, 35:17, | 13:22 |
| 36:4, 36:18, | zoned [13] - |
| 41:18, 41:24 whole [5] - | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 2:26, 7:21, 8:3, } \\ & \text { 16:20, 19:6, 22:8, } \end{aligned}$ |
| 27:22, 35:25, | 22:9, 22:10, |
| 36:15, 41:4, 41:9 | 22:13, 22:19, |
| Wicklow [2] - | 22:24, 27:19, |
| 42:14, 45:22 | 27:21 |
| WICKLOW [3] - | zoning [18] - |
| 1:5, 1:7 | 9:15, 12:2, 15:4, |
| wider [1] - 22:28 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 16:21, 16:29, } \\ & \text { 20:21. 20:23 } \end{aligned}$ |
| willing [2] - | 22:6, 22:7, 22:15, |
| 12:28, 40:8 <br> WINTERS [2] | $27: 5,27: 11$ |
| 18:5, 42:12 | 27:18, 27:20, |
| Winters [3] - | 27:22, 28:27, |
| 21:25, 42:11, | 29:1, 34:19 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 46:14 } \\ & \text { wish [4] - 4:4 } \end{aligned}$ | $€$ |
| 8:17, 16:24, 22:1 <br> wishes [1]- | €47 [1] - 35:8 |
| $\begin{aligned} & 35: 27 \\ & \text { withdraw }[1]- \end{aligned}$ |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 16:8 } \\ & \text { witnessed [1] - } \end{aligned}$ |  |
| 34:6 |  |
| Wood [2] - 8:27, |  |
| 12:11 |  |
| Woodies [2] - |  |
| 22:18 |  |
| worded [2] - |  |
| 4:10, 4:27 |  |
| Works [1] - 45:5 works [1] - |  |
| 38:14 |  |
| worth [1] - 15:22 |  |
| writing [2] - |  |
| 32:28, 37:10 |  |
| Y |  |
| year [2]-40:21, |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 42:16 } \\ & \text { years [6] - } \end{aligned}$ |  |
| 10:18, 11:6, |  |
| 15:11, 38:18, |  |
| 38:20, 40:19 |  |
| yellow [2]-2:12, |  |
| 4:1 |  |
| yesterday [1] - |  |
| 42:8 |  |

